Committee: Union/Employee Consultation Agenda tem

Committee No.:

8.

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> December 2013 Category \*

Subject: Equalities Monitoring Report Status Open

April to June 2013

Report by: Senior Human Resources Officer

**Human Resources Officer** 

Other Officers Equalities Monitoring Report involved: Human Resources Officer

Director Chief Executive Officer

Relevant Councillor E. Watts,
Portfolio Holders Leader of the Council

### **RELEVANT CORPORATE AIMS**

SOCIAL INCLUSION – Promoting fairness, equality and lifelong learning STRATEGIC ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT – Continually improving our organisation.

Ensuring that the Council has a framework in place for monitoring recruitment and selection, workforce breakdown, training, disciplinaries, grievances, labour turnover, efficiency and ill-health retirements by ethnic origin, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation and religion and pay and grading information in relation to market supplements, and appointments within the grade

### **TARGETS**

Monitoring data will contribute towards Level 3 of the Local Government Equalities Standard

### **VALUE FOR MONEY**

The monitoring of statistics/trends enables efficient and effective corrective action to be taken where necessary.

### **THE REPORT**

To submit for Members attention monitoring data on the Council's performance on equalities issues in relation to its employment practices. This report does not cover corporate policy/service delivery monitoring.

It is recognised good practice to have a workforce that is broadly representative of the local community. With regard to the local community, the 2011 census provides the following information: -

- 1. The local population is 75,866, of which 37,442 are economically active.
- 2. The local economically active black and ethnic population percentage of the economically active population is not yet available.
- 3. The percentage of people of working age who had a limiting long-term illness comparable to the definition of disability in the Equalities Act 2010 is not yet available.
- 4. An analysis of Bolsover District's population and workforce in respect of religion/beliefs is as follows:-

	Other	Christian	Hindu	Sikh	Buddhist	Muslim	Jewish	Prefer Not to Say	No Religion
Population#	0.35%	65.17%	0.11%	0.14%	0.15%	0.19%	0.04%	6.82%	27.03%
Workforce##	1.03%	52.46%	0	0	0	0.20%	0	29.92%	16.39%

#based on 2011 Census

## based on employee personal data as at 31st March 2013

### **Performance Indicators**

The following table identifies all performance indicators relevant to Equalities:-

INDICATOR	MEDIAN DERBYSHIRE AUTHORITIES 2011/12	AUTHORITY TARGET 2012/13	AUTHORITY OUT-TURN 2012/13
HR11A - Percentage of top 5% of earners that are women	34.89%	45%	31.57%
HR11B - Percentage of top 5% of earners from black or ethnic communities	0%	0%	0%
HR11C - Percentage of top 5% of earners who are disabled	5.28%	7%	5.26%
HR16A - Percentage of disabled employees (permanent employees)	5.24%	6%	7.42%
HR17A - Percentage of employees from minority ethnic communities'	1.44%	0.50%	0.82%

# Information and Analysis Recruitment/Selection

# **Permanent Employees**

For the period 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 there were 14 vacancies advertised, 143 applications received, 58 candidates shortlisted and 14 successful candidates. For the period 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2012 there were 6 vacancies advertised, 73 applications received, 18 candidates shortlisted and 4 successful candidates. (Please note two of the vacancies received no applications)

**Applicants Breakdown** 

Applicants E	or curtae w	<u></u>							
Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	95.81%	4.19%	46.15%	53.85%	7.69%	16.08%	34.26%	23.07%	26.59%
2012	98.63%	1.37%	36.99%	63.01%	0%	19.18%	42.46%	26.03%	12.33%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2013	84%	2.09%	0%	0.69%	13.22%
2012	87.67%	1.37%	0%	0%	10.96%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2013	50.34%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%`	0%	49.66%
2012	57.53%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	42.47%

**Shortlisted Candidates Breakdown** 

Offici thistea t	<del>Julialauc.</del>	3 Di care	<u> </u>						
Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	96.56%	3.44%	34.49%	65.51%	6.89%	32.14%	29.31%	25.86%	12.69%
2012	94.44%	5.56%	50%	50%	0%	5.56%	50%	33.33%	11.11%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2013	81.85%	2.18%	0%	2.18%	13.79%
2012	94.44%	0%	0%	0%	5.56%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2013	58.63%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41.37%
2012	72.22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	27.78%

**Successful Candidates** 

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	0%	100%	57.15%	42.85%	7.14%	14.28%	14.28%	42.86%	28.58%
2012	100%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2013	92.86%	0%	0%	0%	7.14%
2012	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2013	42.86%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	57.14%
2012	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%

**Workforce Monitoring** 

TTOTIKIOI OO II									
Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	99.21%	0.79%	53.97%	46.03%	7.74%	7.15%	22.62%	32.34%	37.89%
2012	99.26%	0.74%	52.96%	47.04%	7.78%	12.22%	22.96%	30%	34.82%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2013	68.85%	0%	0%	0.20%	30.95%
2012	68.33%	0%	0%	0.19%	31.48%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Seikh	Any other	None	Prefer not to say
2013	53.37%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.19%	17.46%	27.98%
2012	52.22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.30%	18.52%	27.96%

Employee numbers are based on headcount @ 30<sup>th</sup> June 2013 with comparative figures @ 30<sup>th</sup> June 2012.

Training/Development
111 places have been 'taken up' with regard to off the job training. The breakdown of attendees is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	100%	0%	39.64%	60.36%	0%	1.80%	16.22%	39.64%	42.34%
2012	100%	0%	36.67%	63.33%	14.44%	0%	21.11%	33.33%	45.56%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2013	69.37%	0%	0%	0%	30.63%
2012	75.55%	0%	0%	0%	24.45%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Seikh	Any other	None
2013	57.66%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.90%	41.44%
2012	54.45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.11%	44.44%

<u>Discipline</u>
There was no disciplinary action during this period, the breakdown is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2012	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

<u>Grievances (including Harassment/Bullying)</u> There were no grievances lodged during this period.

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2012	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

### **Labour Turnover**

There have been 14 leavers during this period, the breakdown is as follows: -

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	100%	0%	71.43%	28.57%	14.29%	14.29%	35.71%	14.29%	35.71%
2012	100%	0%	59.09%	40.91%	6.82%	50%	15.91%	13.64%	20.45%

<u>Voluntary Leavers</u>
There have been 7 voluntary leavers during this period, the breakdown is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	42.86%	28.57%	28.57%
2012	100%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%

<u>Dismissals</u>
There were no dismissals during this period.

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2012	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Redundancy/Efficiency of the Service**

There was one redundancy during this period, the breakdown is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
2012	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

### **II-Health Retirements**

There were no ill health retirements during this period.

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2013	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2012	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION**

Analysis of the statistics/information presented/possible changes to policy to improve performance.

# **IMPLICATIONS**

Financial - None Legal - None Environmental - None Human Resources - None

RECOMMENDATION that recommendations be received as to improvements to current performance levels.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS: FILE REFERENCES: